Serial No.: 10/758,763 Filed.: January 16, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: Michael D. Barnes

DOCKET NO.: 1048.1

SERIAL NO.: 10/758,763

CONFIRMATION NO.: 6409

FILED: January 16, 2004

ART UNIT: 1732

EXAMINER: Wollschlager, Jeffrey Michael

TITLE: Photonic Polymer-Blend Structures and Method for Making

Response to Office Action and Express Abandonment

Commissioner for Patents Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This response is submitted in response to the office action dated November 21, 2006. Examiner rejected claims 1-6 under 35 USC 101 as being identical to claims 11-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,756,117. Applicant has reviewed the claims and acknowledges that the Examiner is correct. However, it behooves applicant to record the facts in this matter in order to protect the rights of the applicant and assignee of record, particularly with respect to the claims affected by unusual circumstances.

In applicant's file wrapper for the parent application (Serial No. 10/325,112), there is a record of a telephone conference dated December 12, 2003 wherein Examiner Kiliman restricted the claims to one of two groups: Group I (claims 1-10) and Group II (claims 11-16). Patent

Serial No.: 10/758,763 Filed.: January 16, 2004

agent of record Shelley Stafford elected Group I without traverse. The restriction requirement and election were apparently never consummated. The parent application record further contains a Notice of Allowability directed to all claims 1-16 with reasons given therefor.

Applicant filed the presently pending divisional application in good faith, since the election was made without traverse. Signatory agent of record exchanged telephone messages with Examiner on December 12 and 13, 2006. Examiner checked the parent application file at the USPTO, found no record of the restriction requirement, noted the reasons for allowance of claims 1-16, and concluded that all claims in U.S. Patent No. 6,756,117 are valid.

Applicant asserts that claims 1-6 of the present application legally, validly, and enforceably exist as claims in 11-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,756,117. Therefore, applicant expressly abandons the present application in favor of claims in 11-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,756,117.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Joseph A. Marasco/

Joseph A. Marasco Patent Agent of Record Reg. No. 32,798 UT-Battelle, LLC P.O. Box 2008 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6258 (865) 574-4178